mXSS in 2021 One long solved problem?

A talk for Swiss Cyber Storm 2021. Dr.-Ing. Mario Heiderich. mario@cure53.de || Signal: +49 1520 8675782 mXS-what? What is mXSS and why was, is and why will that continue to be a problem?



Our Dear Speaker



- Dr.-Ing. Mario Heiderich
 - Ex-Researcher and now Lecturer, Ruhr-Uni Bochum
 - PhD Thesis about Client Side Security and Defense
 - Runs the course "Web & Browser-Security" at RUB
 - Founder & Director of Cure53
 - Pentest- & Security-Firm located in Berlin
 - Security, Consulting, Workshops, Trainings
 - The Best Company in the World, or even better
 - Published Author and Speaker
 - Specialized on HTML5, DOM and SVG Security
 - JavaScript, XSS and Client Side Attacks
 - Maintains DOMPurify
 - A top notch JS-only Sanitizer, also, couple of other projects
 - Can be reached out to as follows
 - mario@cure53.de
 - +49 1520 8675782

First Act



XSS



We all know it

- Cross-Site Scripting, also known as XSS
 - Technically the wrong name, but...
- What does XSS actually do?
 - Very simple, think "injected script does things"
 - Turns a website into the attacker's accomplice
 - Together, attacker and the accomplice target other users of that website
 - And then, they steal, alter, delete information and cause bad things to happen.
 - And all that happens via JavaScript injections and resulting DOM manipulations





Harmless HTTP Request

GET /manager/?user=Karen HTTP/1.1

Host: www.cure53.de

```
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Ubuntu; Linux x86_64; rv:69.0)
Gecko/20100101 Firefox/69.0
```

Accept:

text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8

Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5

Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate

Connection: close

```
Upgrade-Insecure-Requests: 1
```

Name: Value



Harmless Response

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Cache-Control: no-cache, no-store, must-revalidate
Pragma: no-cache
Content-Type: text/html; charset=utf-8
Expires: -1
Vary: Accept-Encoding
Server: Microsoft-IIS/10.0
Date: Mon, 07 Oct 2019 15:31:25 GMT
Connection: close
Content-Length: 68377
<!doctype html>
<html lang="de" class="no-js html--rwd">
<head></head>
<body>Hello, Karen! I am the manager</body>
</html>
```



Slightly shady Request

GET /manager/?user=<script>alert(1)</script> HTTP/1.1

Host: www.cure53.de

```
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Ubuntu; Linux x86_64; rv:69.0)
Gecko/20100101 Firefox/69.0
```

Accept:

text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,*/*;q=0.8

Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.5

Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate

Connection: close

Upgrade-Insecure-Requests: 1

Name: Value



Hah, XSS. Hello, accomplice!

HTTP/1.1 200 OK Cache-Control: no-cache, no-store, must-revalidate Pragma: no-cache Content-Type: text/html; charset=utf-8 Expires: -1 Vary: Accept-Encoding Server: Microsoft-IIS/10.0 Date: Mon, 07 Oct 2019 15:31:25 GMT Connection: close Content-Length: 68377 <!doctype html> <html lang="de" class="no-js html--rwd"> <head></head> <body>Hello, <script>alert(1)</script>! Oh dear.</body> </html>

And now what?

- Now, it's time to develop an actual exploit, because an "alert" ain't hurting nobody it don't
 - Maybe steal plaintext passwords from inputs
 - Maybe redirect Links & Forms
 - Maybe steal juicy Anti-CSRF tokens
 - Maybe install a "Monero Miner"
 - Maybe register a "Service Worker"
 - Maybe start the webcam or microphone
- Whatever you feel like, really, the DOM is powerful



And how can we prevent all this?

- We avoid...
 - Echoing data just so that comes in via GET, POST etc.
 - Storing or passing on data without any filtering or sanitization
 - Making bad mistakes with filtering, encoding or escaping
- Instead we...
 - Treat any user-controlled data using the right methods
 - Gain awareness over all the contexts, HTML, JS, SVG, CSS...
 - Use securely configured Cookies, HTTP Header & maybe CSP LOL
 - Are super careful with the DOM, because there is still DOMXSS



Alright, that was it!

- Thank you very much!
- Any questions?
 - mario@cure53.de



WAIT A SECOND!

We got some time left don't we?



"Did the all the right things... Still not secure."

• What would happen if...

- We properly secure our application against XSS
- And all the XSS attacks are mitigated
- We did the right thing, didn't forget a single spot
- But still, not safe from XSS attacks? What?



Second Act



mXSS



The things browsers do

- Browsers do a lot of things in the background
 - Lots of things because they have to
 - Other things because, well, because they can
 - And some things just for good looks and performance
- We can have a look at a few simple examples
 - Let's open a text file, shall we? Yes? Just TXT?
 - Or an image file for what it's worth, no?
 - Or we just feed the browser broken HTML, no?



Okay...

• So the browser changes what we feed to it

- In such way that it's better for the browser
- To, for example, not overwhelm the fragile "Layout-Engine"
- And that's good, especially for robustness & performance
- Faster rendering, fewer crashes, let the parser handle it!
- But is that the secure way to go?
 - Of course not, sheesh. Why are we here again? :D



Let's look at a real-life app!

- Let's take a very typical web application, why not a Web Mailer
- What does such an application mostly do?
 - It hosts and harbors very sensitive data ✓

 - It processes very complex stuff. HTML Mails, Attachments, diverse "charsets", anything, really
 - It's gotta be accessible, fast and pretty and well designed 🗸
 - It needs to really work well in all modern browsers ✓
 - It needs to be really powerful, "Rich Text Editor", address book,… ✓
- That's hell lot of requirements for a web application
- And therefore, the perfect target for attacks



"Make secure, now! But how??"

- Well, primary attack vector are mails containing HTML
- Web Mailers usually clean that HTML on the server
 - 1) Mail arrives on the mail server, web mailer notices
 - 2) Server-side code grabs the mail, looks at its content
 - 3) Server-side code cleans it up (no Scripts, no Events, etc.)
 - 4) Server-side code says "okay" and sends it over to the Browser
 - 5) Browser parses and renders HTML, User is very happy
- Sounds secure? Yes? It's not. Thanks, Browser.



Why no secure? Why??

- Because the browser sometimes changes too much.
- And turns safe HTML... into unsafe HTML.

- That does not sound good, doesn't it?
- Let's have a look together.



mXSS Examples

First mXSS Generation

- 123
- 123
- 123

Second mXSS Generation

- 1<article xmlns='">123</article>
- 1<div='/x='><iframe/onload=alert(1)>>
- <x/><title>&lt;/title&gt;&lt;img src=1 onerror=alert(1)>

Third mXSS Generation

- a<svg><xss><desc><noscript></noscript></desc><s></ s><style><a title="</style>">
- <math><mtext><option><FAKEFAKE><option></
 option><mglyph><svg><mtext><style><a title="</style><img src='#'
 onerror='alert(1)'>">



3rd Generation mXSS in Detail

- Let's now have a look at a classic 3rd Generation mXSS example
- This example did affect DOMPurify, the bypass was discovered internally and not so super bad.
 - Because it only worked in case a very unlikely config option was set
 - So we thought to ourselves, "ez gg, not a big issue, let's just fix it lol."
- Well, let's try to explain every single step of the attack
- It's technically not very complicated
- In case you know what exactly happens and why.

We were of course wrong. As usual





<noscript> ">

JavaScript is off. At least "inside", inside the Sanitizer document Why? Because we parse using DOMParser. No JavaScript.

DOMPurify thinks "okay, all good."

<noscript>
<img src=x
onerror=alert(1)>">
</noscript>



"All good" because... all bad stuff is locked inside an attribute!

<noscript> "> </noscript>



However later, in the browser, JavaScript is ofc active! Otherwise we wouldn't need our sanitizer in the first place.

so, everything changes. Oh dear!

<noscript> ">



And now, drum-roll, is that a problem?





00000h shiiii...





Ok, Boome... Google.

cure sa

Check it out on YouTube https://is.gd/oRNBLZ

And on Github https://is.gd/SdP0SK



But it's gonna get worse.

- In autumn 2019, it seems, an mXSS season began
 - DOMPurify was being bypassed several times in a row
 - First bypass was spotted by Michał Bentkowski
 - Then, several other ones "internally" discovered, by Masato
- There was two different root causes back then
 - Predictable Changes in markup-type force a change of parser [^] Type as in HTML, SVG, etc.
 - Unpredictable Changes in markup-type force a change of parser



mXSS Root-Cause Number One

- Predictable Changes in markup-type force a change of parser
 - Browser first thinks it's XML, then oh, it's HTML
 - Once the browser re-decides, ofc, other rules apply
 - This is especially for Style-Elements
 - And because of that, we get a bypass! mXSS.







So, here we have a broken P element. The browser will likely just remove it, no?

<svg><style> <a id="</style>">



Not true. Chrome for example repaired the element. And that lead to changing the parser. Boom, mXSS.

<svg><style> <a id="</style>">



mXSS Root-Cause Number Two

- Unpredictable Changes in markup-type force a change of parser
 - Browser first thinks it's XML or maybe HTML
 - Then, an element gets removed!
 - Element content stays, which is often the case
 - The browser gets, well, "confused"
 - And that causes a bypass to happen, boom. mXSS.



<noembed><svg><style><b title='</style>'>


<noembed><svg><style><b title='</style>'>





<noembed><svg><style><b title='</style>'>



000ps, this changes the type. From CDATA to actual XML!

<noembed><svg><style><b title='</style>'>



<noembed><svg></svg> <style><b title='</style>'>

Oh, FFS...



Third Act



And now?



That's... not so nice

• First, things are all harmless

- The sanitizer receives the HTML, looks at it
- Doesn't find anything that looks bad
- Says "okey dokey" and hands it back to the browser
- And then boom, mXSS

And it's almost not the browser's fault!

- In one context, this set of rules applies
- In another context, other sets of rules apply
- And how are browser & sanitizer supposed to know?





<math><mtext><audio>aa<altglyphdef> <animatecolor><filter><fieldset></fieldset>ccdgg<mgl yph><svg><mtext><style> <a title='</ style>'>

Do what now?

- There are a bunch of things we can get done
- Some of them are of tactical, others of strategic nature
- From a tactical point of view
 - We can build better sanitizers for developers to use
 - We try to navigate around everything SVG, MathML, XML-ish
 - We try to navigate around user-controlled CSS, but that's prio 2
- From a strategic point of view
 - We get the sanitizer to be inside the browser
 - We rewrite the standards, including HTML
 - Or, we change jobs and become a gardener



And who's gonna do all that?

- Well, us, no?
- From a tactical point of view
 - Enhance DOMPurify and harden it further
 - Note that we are "hyper-tolerant by default"
- From a strategic point of view
 - Sanitization has meanwhile arrived in the browser
 - The standards have been adjusted here and there
 - HTML will likely change soon, things point that direction
- The level of awareness is growing. Folks now want to fix this.



Let's have look here

- Back then, 2016, first attempt
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KIRvxYqk_Wc
- Then here, 2018, Schloss Dagstuhl
 - https://www.dagstuhl.de/en/program/calendar/semhp/? semnr=18321
- And now, 2021, finally!
 - https://wicg.github.io/sanitizer-api/



Next Steps

- Keep maintaining JavaScript based sanitizers
 - Things could be worse, protection levels are quite good
- Keep pushing development of Browser-based sanitizers
 - Things are in motion, first implementations in FF and Chrome!
- Keep exploring the mXSS attack surface
 - Good starting point? Jsdom! ("oh dear...")
- And piece by piece get closer to be able to handle Markup securely, despite weird HTML, SVG & MathML Cocktails



Now, that was it, for real :)

- Many thanks!
- Got any questions?
 - mario@cure53.de
- Thanks also go out to...
 - Michał Bentkowski, Gareth Heyes, Freddy Braun, Jun Kokatsu, Masato Kinugawa, Mike West, Daniel Vogelheim, Yifan Luo and many others who helped on this journey

